

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/752,643	01/08/2004		Tatsumasa Mae	12218/29	4212	
23838	7590	02/16/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.				COE, SUSAN D		
SUITE 700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DO	20005	1655			

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/752,643	MAE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Susan D. Coe	1655

	Susan D. Coe	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	<u>:</u>	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NCow);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jeoted ciainis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		:	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 12,13,15,16,18,19,21 and 22.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.</li> </ul>			
because: See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other:		Awayy 7	H
•		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1655	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## Application No. 10/752,643

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the new limitations regarding the "intention" of the claims create a patentable distinction over the prior art. However, an intended use of an invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art. The prior art teaches administering the same composition to the same patients, i.e. obese patients and patients with elevated blood glucose levels. Thus, the "intention" of the claim to lower visceral fat and blood glucose does not result in a structural difference between the claimed methods and the reference methods. Therefore, the claims are still considered properly rejected for the reasons of record.